# Dissipatively Driven Many-Body Pairing State for Cold Fermions in an Optical Lattice

# Wei Yi

University of Science and Technology of China



中国科学技术大学

Innsbruck collaborators: Sebastian Diehl Andrew Daley Peter Zoller



#### Outline

- General idea of reservoir engieering
- Some examples
- Dissipatively driven pairing states of Fermions
  - Simple case: Anti-ferromagnetic Néel state
  - d-wave pairing state
  - General strategy
  - p-wave pairing state. (topological order?)
- Mean field theory
- Physical implementation
- Application: effective cooling scheme via adiabatic connection
- Summary

#### Conventional ground state preparation

Start from a given many body Hamiltonian H, cool the system into the ground state

$$\rho \xrightarrow{T \to 0} |\psi_g\rangle \langle \psi_g|$$

Open system with drive and dissipation

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i \left[ H, \rho \right] + \mathcal{L}\rho$$
$$\rho(t) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \rho_{\text{steady}}$$

#### Conventional ground state preparation

Start from a given many body Hamiltonian  ${\cal H},$  cool the system into the ground state

$$\rho \xrightarrow{T \to 0} |\psi_g\rangle \langle \psi_g|$$

Open system with drive and dissipation

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i \left[ H, \rho \right] + \mathcal{L}\rho$$
$$\rho(t) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \rho_{\text{steady}}$$

Engineer system-reservoir coupling, so that  $\rho_{\rm steady}$  is localized in the Hilbert space.

Example I: Stabilization of p-wave superfluid



- System cannot thermalize due to large three-body losses
- In an optical lattice, three-body losses suppressed by quantum Zeno effect, i.e. loss blockade

Y.-J, Han, Y.-H. Chan, W. Yi, A.J. Daley, S. Diehl, P. Zoller, L.-M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 070404 (2009)

### Example II: Driven dissipative BEC



- Local dissipation locks the relative phase between adjacent sites
- Long range order established by sequence of local dissipations
- The coherence of BEC eventually comes from the laser
- Final steady state not dependent upon initial density matrix
- S. Diehl, A. Micheli, A. Kantian, B. Kraus, H.P. Büchler, P. Zoller, Nature Physics 4, 878 (2008)

Driven Pairing (USTC)

#### General Idea

Master equation

$$\begin{split} &i\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = -iH_{\rm eff}\rho + i\rho H_{\rm eff}^{\dagger} + \kappa \sum_{l} J_{l}\rho J_{l}^{\dagger} \\ &H_{\rm eff} = H - \frac{i}{2}\kappa \sum_{l} J_{l}^{\dagger}J_{l} \end{split}$$

#### Quantum trajectory picture



# State preparation based on dissipative processes

Look for appropriate set of quantum jump operators  $\{J_l\}$ , so that they have a unique dark state

$$J_l |\varphi\rangle = 0 \qquad \forall l$$

Requirement

- Non-Hermitian
- Particle number conserving
- Possible to connect any state to the dark state
- Quasi-local
- Single particle operator

More examples:

- $\eta$ -condensate of fermion pairs
  - S. Diehl et al., Nature Physics 4, 878 (2008)
- Reservoir engineering for general quantum simulation of spin-models

H. Weimer et al., Nature Phys. 6, 382-388 (2010)

• Fermion pairing states with various symmetries, e.g. d-wave symmetry

# High Tc superconductors



- Repulsive interaction
- Experimental evidence for fermion pairing with d-wave symmetry
- Simplified model: 2-d Fermi-Hubbard Model
- Difficult to solve

Quantum simulation of Fermi-Hubbard Model

- Fermi-Hubbard Hamiltonian can be implemented with an optical lattice potential
- How to cool the system to its ground state?

$$T_c/T_F \sim 0.02$$
  $T_{\rm exp}/T_F \sim 0.2$  (ETH)

# A possible solution

- Prepare pure state with appropriate symmetry
- Connect to the ground state of the Fermi-Hubbard model via adiabatic passage

BCS-type d-wave pairing state

$$\begin{aligned} |d\rangle &= \left(d^{\dagger}\right)^{N} |vac\rangle \\ d^{\dagger} &= \sum_{i} \left[ \left( a^{\dagger}_{i+e_{x},\uparrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow} - a^{\dagger}_{i+e_{x},\downarrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow} \right) - \left( a^{\dagger}_{i+e_{y},\uparrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow} - a^{\dagger}_{i+e_{y},\downarrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Under translational symmetry

$$d^{\dagger} = \left[ \left( a_{i+e_x,\uparrow}^{\dagger} + a_{i-e_x,\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) a_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} - \left( a_{i+e_y,\uparrow}^{\dagger} + a_{i-e_y,\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) a_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right]$$



# Simplified version

$$d^{\dagger} = \sum_{i,\lambda} f(\lambda) S_{i+\lambda}^{\pm}$$
$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \lambda = e_x \\ -1 & \lambda = e_y \end{cases}$$

#### With

$$\begin{split} S^+_{i+\lambda} &= a^{\dagger}_{i+\lambda} \sigma^+ a^{\dagger}_i = a^{\dagger}_{i+\lambda,\uparrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow} \\ S^-_{i+\lambda} &= a^{\dagger}_{i+\lambda} \sigma^- a^{\dagger}_i = a^{\dagger}_{i+\lambda,\downarrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\uparrow} \end{split}$$

Anti-ferromagnetic Néel state

$$|AF\pm\rangle = \prod_{i\in A,\lambda} a^{\dagger}_{i+\lambda} \sigma^{\pm} a^{\dagger}_{i} |vac\rangle = \prod_{i\in A,\lambda} S^{\pm}_{i+\lambda} |vac\rangle$$

How to prepare anti-ferromagnetic Néel state?

$$|AF+\rangle = \prod_{i \in A, \lambda} a^{\dagger}_{i+\lambda,\uparrow} a^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow} |vac\rangle$$

Consider jump operators on the two-site unit cell



The set of jump operators  $\left\{a_{j,\uparrow}^{\dagger}a_{i,\downarrow}, a_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{i,\uparrow}\right\}$  dictates that any given site should have opposite spin with its neighbouring sites.

Additional jump operator to get rid of degeneracy of 'dark' state:

$$J_i = a_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i,\sigma}$$

Additional jump operator to get rid of degeneracy of 'dark' state:

$$J_i = a_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i,\sigma}$$

Physical origin: Pauli blocking



Building d-wave jump operators

- Local singlet pairing
- Spatial d-wave symmetry
- $\bullet$  Singlet pairing state in 1d

$$|d\rangle_{1d} = \left[\sum_{i} (a^{\dagger}_{i+1,\uparrow} + a^{\dagger}_{i-1,\uparrow}) a^{\dagger}_{i,\downarrow}\right]^{N} |vac\rangle$$

• Jump operator

$$\begin{split} J_i^{\pm} &= (a_{i+1}^{\dagger} + a_{i-1}^{\dagger})\sigma^{\pm}a_i \\ J_i^{\pm} |d\rangle_{1d} &= 0 \end{split}$$

### Uniqueness



- Dark state has two-fold degeneracy with  $\{J_i^{\pm}\}$
- The degeneracy can be removed by

$$J_i^z = (a_{i+1}^\dagger + a_{i-1}^\dagger)\sigma^z a_i$$

• Both dark state and steady state unique under  $\{J_i^{\pm}, J_i^z\}$ 

# What about 2d? (2x6 ladder with 4 atoms)



#### With jump operators

$$J_i^{\pm} = \left(a_{i+e_x}^{\dagger} + a_{i-e_x}^{\dagger}\right)\sigma^{\pm}a_i - \left(a_{i+e_y}^{\dagger} + a_{i-e_y}^{\dagger}\right)\sigma^{\pm}a_i$$
$$J_i^z = \left(a_{i+e_x}^{\dagger} + a_{i-e_x}^{\dagger}\right)\sigma^z a_i - \left(a_{i+e_y}^{\dagger} + a_{i-e_y}^{\dagger}\right)\sigma^z a_i$$

Driven Pairing (USTC)

States that can be constructed from Néel state unit cell operators

$$\beta_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{\nu} \rho_{\nu} a_{i+e_{\nu},\sigma_1}^{\dagger} a_{i,\sigma_2}^{\dagger}$$

Jump operator

$$J_i = \sum_{\nu} \rho_{\nu} a_{i+e_{\nu},\sigma_1}^{\dagger} a_{i,\sigma_2}$$

With

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu} \rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu}a^{\dagger}_{j+\mathbf{e}_{\nu},\sigma_{1}}a^{\dagger}_{j+\mathbf{e}_{\mu},\sigma_{1}} = 0, \qquad \text{for } \sigma_{1} \neq \sigma_{2}$$
$$\sum_{\mu,\nu} \rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu}(a^{\dagger}_{j+\mathbf{e}_{\nu}} - a^{\dagger}_{j-\mathbf{e}_{\nu}})a^{\dagger}_{j+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} = 0, \qquad \text{for } \sigma_{1} = \sigma_{2}$$

Examples



$$\rho_{\pm x} = -\rho_{\pm y} = 1$$

Examples



 $p_x + ip_y \qquad p_x - ip_y$ 

p-wave pairing state (4x4 plaquette with 4 atoms)



• Final steady state is approached exponentially fast, as in the d-wave case

Driven Pairing (USTC)

Discussion on uniqueness condition Consider the 'parent' Hamiltonian

$$H_p = \sum_{i,\sigma=\pm,z} \left(J_i^{\sigma}\right)^{\dagger} J_i^{\sigma}$$

- $H_p$  semi-positive definite
- Dark state is unique if the ground state of  $H_p$  is non-degenerate
- $\bullet\,$  Check if symmetry operations of  $H_p$  leave the dark state unaltered
- For example, the reduced 'parent' Hamiltonian  $H_p^r = \sum_{i,\sigma=\pm} (J_i^\sigma)^{\dagger} J_i^\sigma$  has a discrete symmetry

$$T_d: \quad \begin{array}{ll} a_{i,\uparrow} \to -a_{i,\uparrow}; & a_{i,\downarrow} \to a_{i,\downarrow} \text{ for } i \in A, \\ a_{i,\uparrow} \to a_{i,\uparrow}; & a_{i,\downarrow} \to a_{i,\downarrow} \text{ for } i \in B \end{array}$$

# Mean field description

• The BCS pairing state in coherent state form

$$|D(\theta)\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{q}\in BZ} [\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}} + \frac{e^{i\theta}\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}}{\sqrt{1+|\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}} a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow}a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{q},\downarrow}]|\mathsf{vac}\rangle,$$

• Gutzwiller ansatz in momentum space

$$\rho = \prod_{\mathbf{q}} \rho_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \rho_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathsf{tr}_{\neq \mathbf{q}} \rho, \quad \mathsf{tr} \rho_{\mathbf{q}} = 1 \,\forall \, \mathbf{q}$$

• Trace over the master equation

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\neq \mathbf{q}}\left\{\frac{d\rho}{dt}\right\} = \operatorname{tr}_{\neq \mathbf{q}}\left\{-i\left[H,\rho\right] + \mathcal{L}\rho\right\}$$

• The Louisvillian part

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\neq\mathbf{q}}\mathcal{L}\rho = 2\kappa_{\mathbf{q}} \times \left\{ \gamma_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow}\rho_{\mathbf{q}}\gamma_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow}^{\dagger} + \gamma_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow}\rho_{\mathbf{q}}\gamma_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow}^{\dagger}\gamma_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow} + \gamma_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow}^{\dagger}\gamma_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow},\rho_{\mathbf{q}} \right] \right\}$$

• The new jump operators

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}} \left( c_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow} - \varphi_{\mathbf{q}} c_{-\mathbf{q},\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right), \\ \gamma_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}} \left( c_{-\mathbf{q},\downarrow} + \varphi_{\mathbf{q}} c_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) \end{split}$$

• The damping spectrum is gapped

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{q}} = 2A\kappa(1+|\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2), \qquad A \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{|\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}{1+|2\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}$$

Approach to the steady state exponentially fast at late times

Examples

- 1d singlet pairing  $\varphi_q = \cos q, A = (1 1/\sqrt{2})$
- 2d d-wave pairing  $\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}=\cos q_x-\cos q_y, A\sim 0.36$
- Generalize to spinless fermions: p-wave pairing

$$\begin{split} |P\rangle &= \mathcal{N} \prod_{\mathbf{q}} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|2\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}} + \frac{2\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}}{\sqrt{1+|2\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}} c_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}) |\mathsf{vac}\rangle, \\ \varphi_{\mathbf{q}} &= 2i(\sin q_x \pm i \sin q_y), A \sim 0.12 \end{split}$$

• Jump operator for p-wave pairing

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|2\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}}(c_{\mathbf{q}}-2\varphi_{\mathbf{q}}c_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger})$$

• However, this p-wave state is in the strong pairing phase and topologically trivial

^

Jump operators for AF Néel state

$$a_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{i,\uparrow}|\uparrow,\uparrow\rangle \Rightarrow |0,\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$$

$$a_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{i,\uparrow}|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle \Rightarrow |\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle$$

$$a_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{i,\uparrow}|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle \Rightarrow |\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle$$

$$a_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger}a_{i,\uparrow}|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle \Rightarrow |\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle$$

# Alkaline earth like atoms

<sup>171</sup>Yb



- Long lived metastable state  ${}^3P_0$ ,  $\Gamma \sim 2\pi \times 10 \mathrm{mHz}$
- Electronic spin and nuclear spin decoupled in the ground state

Singlet pairing in 1d

$$(a_{i+1,\downarrow}^{\dagger} + a_{i-1,\downarrow}^{\dagger})a_{i,\uparrow} |\downarrow,\uparrow,0\rangle \xrightarrow{\circ}_{i} \xrightarrow{\circ}_{i}$$

d-wave pairing



# Effective cooling scheme

- Dissipatively prepare steady state with the desired symmetry properties
- Adiabatically connect to the ground state of the Hamiltonian with the same symmetry

# Effective cooling scheme

- Dissipatively prepare steady state with the desired symmetry properties
- Adiabatically connect to the ground state of the Hamiltonian with the same symmetry

### Problem

Competition between  $H, {\rm e.g.}$  FHM, and dissipative process leads to mixed state

# Effective cooling scheme

- Dissipatively prepare steady state with the desired symmetry properties
- Adiabatically connect to the ground state of the Hamiltonian with the same symmetry

### Problem

Competition between  $H, \, {\rm e.g.}\,$  FHM, and dissipative process leads to mixed state

# Solution

Recall the 'parent' Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} H_p &= \sum_{i,\sigma=\pm,z} \left(J_i^{\sigma}\right)^{\dagger} J_i^{\sigma} \\ H_p |d\rangle &= 0 \end{split}$$

#### Adiabatic passage



Adiabatic passage for small plaquette (4 atoms on 2x4 ladder)



- The dissipative gap becomes an excitation gap of  $H_p$
- The adiabatic process will be gapped so long as all the symmetry properties of the Hamiltonian are captured by the steady state

Complete vs. reduced  $H_p$ 

$$H_p = \sum_{i,\sigma=\pm,z} \left(J_i^{\sigma}\right)^{\dagger} J_i^{\sigma}$$

$$H_p = \sum_{i,\sigma=\pm} \left(J_i^{\sigma}\right)^{\dagger} J_i^{\sigma}$$



Driven Pairing (USTC)

# Implementation of reduced $H_p$



$$(J_i^-)^{\dagger} J_i^- = n_{i,\uparrow} (a_{i+1,\downarrow}^{\dagger} + a_{i-1,\downarrow}^{\dagger}) (a_{i+1,\downarrow} + a_{i-1,\downarrow}) + 2n_{i,\uparrow}$$

• Time evolution in a digital fashion

Driven Pairing (USTC)

# Summary

- Designing jump operators for fermions
- Pairing states with different symmetries
- Implementation and application
- More exotic stuff:

topologically non-trivial state?

Majorana zero modes?

S. Diehl, E. Rico, M. A. Baranov, P. Zoller, arXiv:1105.5947 (2011)

# Summary

- Designing jump operators for fermions
- Pairing states with different symmetries
- Implementation and application
- More exotic stuff:

topologically non-trivial state?

Majorana zero modes?

S. Diehl, E. Rico, M. A. Baranov, P. Zoller, arXiv:1105.5947 (2011)

# THANK YOU!

S. Diehl, W. Yi, A. J. Daley, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 227001 (2010) W. Yi, S. Diehl, A. J. Daley, P. Zoller (in preparation)